**RESEARCH PAPER REVIEW FORM**

Reviewer

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title, degree |  |
| Name and surname |  |
| Date |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Research paper’s title |  |

**CRITERIA-BASED RESEARCH PAPER ASSESSMENT**

Please assess the paper based on the following criteria on the scale of 1 to 5 (with 1 being the lowest grade and 5 – the highest), and then enter “x” in respective columns.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EVALUATION CRITERIA** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **n/a** |
| **Problem significance**Is the paper’s subject an important, current problem? Does it discuss important problems insufficiently presented in reference literature? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Contribution to the development of knowledge of the sector and/or civil society**Does the paper offer a new approach to the subject? Does it help expand the knowledge about civil society, the third sector or civil action? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Originality**Is the paper an original study? Is it a compilation of previous studies? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Usefulness**Does the paper have important useful value? Is it possible to show practical applications of the presented contents, research conclusions? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Research correctness**Are research problems formulated correctly? Is the research methodology description satisfactory? Is the data analysis correct and are conclusions well documented? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Quality of contents** Is the subject discussed in an exhaustive way? Are the presented arguments clear and logical? Are the presented contents in accordance with sources? Are they free from factual errors? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Content structure/arrangement**Is the paper’s structure proper? Are the contents properly divided? Is the contents arrangement logical? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Reference literature selection and use**Is the selection of sources and literature proper? Are there references to current sources in the paper?  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Formal and linguistic aspects**To what extent is the paper’s language proper and clear? Is the professional vocabulary used? Was the text prepared in accordance with instructions for authors? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Scientific aspect**To what extent is the paper a scientific text (discusses scientific problems and uses an academic language)? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\*n/a – not applicable (a given criterion is not applicable to the paper under review)

|  |
| --- |
| Rationale for assessment |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Suggested changes and modifications (required and optional) |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Optionally: comments for the publisher (not communicated to the Author) |
|  |

**FINAL ASSESSMENT**

Please enter “x” in an appropriate column below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Eligible for publication, no modifications are required |
|  | Eligible for publication after conceptual modifications are made, re-assessment is not required |
|  | Requires significant content and technical modifications and/or those pertaining to the contents arrangement and re-assessment |
|  | Not eligible for publication in *Kwartalnik Trzeci Sektor* |

**THE REVIEWER’S REPRESENTATION**

I represent that I am not familiar with the identity of the Author of the paper under review and to the best of my knowledge and belief there are no facts or circumstances that compromise my independence and impartiality as regards the paper’s assessment.

Signed: